

## CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE'S SOCIAL CARE AND SERVICES SCRUTINY PANEL

Date: Tuesday 25th January, 2022

Time: 4.00 pm Venue: Virtual

#### **AGENDA**

Please note: this is a virtual meeting.

The meeting will be live-streamed via the Council's <u>Youtube</u> channel at 4.00 pm on Tuesday 25th January, 2022

- 1. Apologies for Absence
- Declarations of Interest
- 3. Minutes of the previous meeting of the Children & Young People's Social Care & Services Scrutiny Panel held on 13 December 2021.
- 3 8

4. Locality Working from a Children's Services Perspective - Further Information

9 - 34

A range of partners working within the locality working pilot model in North Ormesby have been invited to discuss with the Panel: how their organisation/service links into the project; how they work with children's social care/early help services to achieve better outcomes for children and families; their views on the current pilot model.

Presentations attached from:

- a) North Ormesby Neighbourhood Development Manager
- b) Together Middlesbrough and Cleveland Food Insecurity Project Officer
- 5. Update Covid Recovery in Children's Services

The Executive Director of Children's Services will provide an update in relation to Covid recovery in Children's Services, where appropriate.

6. Overview and Scrutiny Board Update

The Chair will provide a verbal update in relation to business conducted at the Overview and Scrutiny Board meeting held on 18 January 2022.

- 7. Date and Time of Next Meeting Monday, 21 February 2022 at 4.00pm
- 8. Any other urgent items which in the opinion of the Chair, may be considered.

Charlotte Benjamin Director of Legal and Governance Services

Town Hall Middlesbrough Monday, 17 January 2022

#### **MEMBERSHIP**

Councillors D Davison (Chair), T Mawston (Vice-Chair), A Hellaoui, T Higgins, M Nugent, Z Uddin, M Storey, J Walker and G Wilson.

#### **Assistance in accessing information**

Should you have any queries on accessing the Agenda and associated information please contact Joanne Dixon, 01642 729713, joanne\_dixon@middlesbrough.gov.uk

#### CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE'S SOCIAL CARE AND SERVICES SCRUTINY PANEL

A meeting of the Children and Young People's Social Care and Services Scrutiny Panel was held on 13 December 2021.

PRESENT: Councillor Davison (Chair); Councillors: Hellaoui, Higgins, Uddin, J Walker and

Wilson.

**OFFICERS:** C Breheny, S Butcher, J Dixon, K Jackson, L Kelly, R Farnham, A Parkinson.

ALSO IN ATTENDANCE: S Carlisle – Deputy Head Teacher, Newport Primary School

Sergeant S Pattison - Newport Neighbourhood Police

An APOLOGY FOR ABSENCE was submitted on behalf of Councillor Mawston.

#### \*\* DECLARATIONS OF MEMBERS' INTERESTS

Name of Member	Type of Interest	Item/Nature of Interest
Councillor A Hellaoui	Non-pecuniary	Ag. item 4 – Locality Working – Ward Cllr for Newport – area in which Locality Working Pilot is taking place, and also Co-Chair of Governors Newport Primary School
Councillor J Walker	Non-pecuniary	Ag. Item 4 – Locality Working – Cllr chair of Lynx Youth project – guest invited to meeting

#### **MINUTES - 22 NOVEMBER 2021**

The minutes of the previous meeting of the Children and Young People's Social Care and Services Scrutiny Panel held on 22 November 2021 were submitted and approved as a correct record.

The Chair wished to congratulate Children's Services on the news that the Minister for Children and Families had endorsed the recommendations of the Department for Education's Children's Commissioner, Peter Dwyer, that the Service no longer required oversight by the Commissioner.

## LOCALITY WORKING FROM A CHILDREN'S SERVICES PERSPECTIVE - FURTHER INFORMATION

The Chair welcomed those present and provided background in relation to the Panel's current scrutiny topic of Locality Working from a children's services perspective.

It was explained that the Panel had heard information in relation to various partners working within the locality pilot areas of Newport and North Ormesby and subsequently wished to invite some of those partners to discuss, with the Panel, their involvement in locality working in order to provide a better understanding of how a multi-agency approach was working to improve outcomes for children and families.

The following representatives were in attendance at the meeting:-

- A Parkinson Newport Neighbourhood Manager
- L Kelly Public Protection
- K Jackson Public Health
- S Carlisle Newport Primary School

#### Newport Neighbourhood Manager

A Parkinson, Newport Neighbourhood Manager, advised that in terms of the Children's Services aspect of the locality model, specific resources had been allocated to both locality pilot projects in Newport and North Ormesby, including named Officers within the model who had been co-located, both virtually and in office settings when permitted (due to the Covid pandemic). Throughout the pilot, several areas had been developed in relation to the Children's Services aspect of the model, including specific meetings and working groups in relation to children and families so that a team of support could be put in place around the family or individual in order to best support them.

#### Public Protection/Selective Landlord Licensing

L Kelly, Selective Landlord Licensing Manager, Public Protection Service, advised that selective landlord licensing operated in both the Newport and North Ormesby areas and that the wider Public Protection Service had always engaged with Children's Services to work in an integrated way. It was acknowledged that locality working afforded the opportunity and framework for such work to be carried out collaboratively.

The Selective Licensing Team worked closely with Early Help services, for example, Early Help may be working with a family living in a property in state of poor disrepair. This would be brought to the attention of selective licensing and a joint visit would be undertaken, often including Environmental Health, to inspect the property and assess whether it met an acceptable living standard. When inspection or post-tenancy visits were undertaken, a checklist was used to ascertain whether the family had other services working with them or whether they felt they required help/support from any other services.

The team attended child protection meetings which fed into support plans for children and families and liaised regularly with Social Workers to discuss whether families met thresholds for Early Help support or safeguarding concerns in order to make referrals where appropriate. Whilst carrying out housing inspections, any concerns would be raised with Early Help or Social Care teams where appropriate.

In relation to anti-social behaviour, the Neighbourhood Safety Team put together anti-social behaviour contracts and involved Social Workers, where applicable. In instances where it was considered any child/young person committing anti-social behaviour required a referral this would be done. It was highlighted that in North Ormesby, the Neighbourhood Safety Officer was aware of anti-social behaviour problems and had established a fortnightly meeting with the Social Workers allocated to the young people involved to discuss ways forward and solutions.

Across the wider Public Protection Service, Trading Standards dealt with age-restricted products including alcohol and cigarette sales which could impact on young people.

When asked how well locality working was operating, the Selective Licensing Manager stated it was working well and that she could see the value in locality working, however, it had been impacted by Covid and being unable to meet in person. There was a benefit in being located alongside partners to resolve issues more quickly.

#### Public Health

K Jackson, South Tees Public Health, advised that Public Health had been involved in the locality working projects since inception. There were two dedicated members of staff attached to the pilot projects – one in Newport and one in North Ormesby.

Public Health operated within the teams in its widest sense – to develop community engagement links; to ensure all services involved in the locality teams were aware that they could approach public health with any concerns regarding health and wellbeing within the localities; signposting - directing people to services such as drug and alcohol services; helping with mental health and wellbeing. In relation to wider aspects of the project, staff joined in with community walkabouts and

litter picks and made themselves known to local street wardens as well as residents to raise awareness about how they could help to improve things for the families involved.

The staff member allocated to Newport had provided information stating that in terms of involvement regarding the children's social care agenda, work had been undertaken in relation to improving the school environment, working with Middlesbrough Environment City, signposting regarding poverty and provision of quarterly data on child development and breast feeding rates in the area. Public Health wanted to promote pro-active working with all other services and agencies to improve outcomes for people living in the area.

During discussion, the following issues were raised:-

- When asked if locality working appeared to be successful in terms of Public Health, the Panel was informed that in some ways Covid had helped to raise the profile of Public Health and the wide range of services it offered. Public Health was always keen to join any new approaches where there was real co-ordination and partnership working as this was essential to supporting families. The Officer considered that there was further work to be done as some partners within the localities were not always fully aware of the wide breadth of services that Public Health could offer. This was something Public Health was keen to promote further. The pandemic had hampered achieving some of the aims set out by locality working particularly where cross-office conversations would be beneficial in order to build relationships and it was hoped this would be regained further down the line when it was safe to do so. Public Health would always be supportive of a multi-agency approach on a wider scale in the future as this was the best way to address all of the issues that affected a person's health and wellbeing.
- A Member of the Panel considered that the multi-agency approach appeared to be working well as issues and problems experienced by families were multi-faceted and often required the involvement of more than one agency to provide help and support. It was queried if the project was to be rolled out to more areas in the future how this might impact on public health. In response, an example was provided regarding the Live Well Centre which was created on the principle that a person with multiple needs could walk into the centre and speak to one of the 'Motivators' who would address all of those needs either directly or by engaging them with the appropriate services, such as drug and alcohol services, welfare rights, sexual health, mental health resources, etc. The vision was that the locality working hubs would offer a similar opportunity and that not necessarily more staff were needed but that better links to those already delivering the services was required - knowing who to speak to within already funded services, whether that be a Council service, a Voluntary or Community Service or a national organisation. The key was to work better with the services that were already there and building on the 'making every contact count' approach to make it the strength behind locality working. If anything, Covid had shown that services could work differently to address people's needs and this had been done more effectively than considered possible.
- In terms of not necessarily needing additional staffing in locality areas, a Panel Member suggested that it would be sensible for each area to have a locality manager or co-ordinator who would be able to signpost people to the right services and that each locality project should learn from each other.
- The Executive Director of Children's Services highlighted that further information was needed in relation to the impact of the two pilot projects for example, reference had been made to breast feeding rates in Newport. Had locality working made a difference to the outcome? Had rates increased? The Public Health Officer advised that quarterly data was available and that there were performance measures in place relating to each of the themes within the locality action plans, including three or four measures in relation to breast feeding rates and teen pregnancy. In terms of public health, improvements in outcomes had been recognised.
- The Newport Neighbourhood Manager added that there was a full performance management framework for both localities, with around 70 measures used to plot improvements and areas of concern. Nine or ten central measures were used to look at the over-arching aims of the

project as well as additional data which informed monthly updates to the strategic guidance group. This data would also be used in the compilation of the evaluation report on the pilot projects.

 A Member of the Panel queried whether Public Health covered all ages and not just children within the pilot projects. It was confirmed that all public health support was available from 'cradle to grave' with particular focus on vulnerable people.

#### **Newport Primary School**

S Carlisle, Deputy Head, Newport Primary School, was in attendance and advised that whilst the school was not a driver of the locality working project it worked with services that supported their children and families and their delivery model had changed through the locality working project.

The Deputy Head stated that the most positive changes for the School through locality working included Early Help and Neighbourhood Safety.

In terms of Early Help, a Senior Practitioner had been allocated to Newport and this had been very positive. The school had built a positive relationship with the Practitioner who was well-known within the school to staff and families. The Panel heard that the Practitioner was very 'hands on' and came into school regularly to meet children and families and worked with a range of agencies. This meant that when families were experiencing problems, for example with housing or difficulties with neighbours, the Practitioner knew which agencies to contact and work with to provide support to the family. It had been very positive for the school to have such strong practitioners. Due to the large numbers of families requiring support from the Early Help Practitioner, it was not possible for her to carry all of the caseloads alone. Whilst she supported the majority of families with children from the school, some cases had to be allocated to other practitioners. The Deputy Head highlighted that all of the practitioners were very professional and that the school was impressed with Early Help.

The Panel was informed that the Neighbourhood Safety Team had also made a big difference as a lot of the time families had issues which impacted on the children but did not link directly to education. For example, they may raise concerns regarding poor housing conditions, noisy neighbours, children not sleeping, etc. The school was now able to quickly contact the Neighbourhood Manager or one of the team to report the issues and would be signposted to the right services for support. This saved time for school staff and ensured that families were being helped in the best way possible by the right services. This was also the case for selective landlord licensing where families were experiencing problems. They could be linked directly with the family to offer support.

The Chair stated that she was pleased locality working was helping the school as problems experienced by parents and families impacted on children and it was reassuring to know that the multi-agency approach was working well.

A Panel Member commented that listening to the various agencies highlighted how Middlesbrough's communities needed a holistic approach to all the concerns. There were many problems outside of the education framework which impacted on children and families did not know where to turn for help. Many families were affected by poverty which impacted on their daily lives in many ways and the locality model helped to support individuals and families through a holistic model focussing on all of the issues. The Newport Ward Councillor commented that, on a personal level, her experience of locality working was very positive and that improvements in the community could already be seen by residents, schools, etc. The Councillor hoped that the locality model would continue and be extended.

#### **Cleveland Police**

Sergeant Pattison, Newport Neighbourhood Police, advised he had worked alongside the locality hub with various services across a range of issues, including housing, and had carried out days of

action and weeks of action jointly. Sergeant Pattison noted that services were working more closely together than in the past and that this was continuing to strengthen.

It was highlighted that there were a lot of issues in Newport and that a programme of work with young people in the area was underway. A dedicated PCSO specifically engaged with young people at Newport Hub in a range of activities including the Middlesbrough Foundation kick about. Relationships were being built upon between young people in the area and the neighbourhood policing team to build bridges.

Sergeant Pattison considered that the multi-agency approach was beginning to work and that being able to link to a range of services through the Neighbourhood Manger assisted greatly with tackling crime in the area and that professional relationships were being strengthened.

During discussion, the following issues were raised:-

- It was queried whether more trust had been gained within the community and whether intelligence was more likely to be forthcoming to the neighbourhood police through the multiagency approach. Sergeant Pattison felt that residents often just wanted to be able to speak to a police officer and often provided useful low-level intelligence that could be followed up, for example, in relation to anti-social behaviour. Equally, where Police were made aware of non-Police matters, they were now able to contact the Neighbourhood Manager who was able to signpost to the right services. It was highlighted that the most recent crime figures for Newport showed a decline, this could be partly attributable to problem tenants and houses being closed down during joint operations between the Police and the Council. Once more serious crime was reduced, this provided an opportunity for lower level issues to be dealt with.
- A Member queried how well the Police felt they were engaging with young people in the Newport ward. In response, it was stated that the dedicated PCSO has almost 20 years' experience of working within the area and had known the parents of many of the young people. Some of the barriers included language and cultural barriers, however, these were being broken down. The neighbourhood police attended the primary school to chat with parents and children at drop off and pick up times and felt that working with children from a young age helped to build relationships.
- Reference was made to the dedicated PCSO and in response to a question, it was explained
  that she had a strong presence in the community and regularly attended the Newport Hub. She
  was involved in collecting clothing for charity and attending the homeless café and was recently
  recognised for her work by being awarded the British Empire Medal in the Queen's birthday
  honours.
- A Panel Member commented that having such excellent provision would also highlight ongoing
  problems as well as resolving problems, for example, in looking at problems, more and more
  problems emerged, therefore, there would be a continuous challenge of having enough staff –
  eg Early Help practitioners working with Newport school. A major issue was poverty which
  impacted on many aspects of people's lives.

The Executive Director of Children's Services commented that it had been very helpful and thought-provoking to hear from other agencies. Unfortunately there was not a limitless amount of resources available for the pilot project and the model relied to some extent on how more could be achieved with the same amount of resources. The project needed to be evaluated to determine what impact it was having and could potentially look very different if it rolled to other parts of Middlesbrough in the future. The shift towards early help and preventative work was a step in the right direction.

The Chair felt that the two pilots were working well but acknowledged that every area would be different and it was crucial to work within the resources available.

#### **COVID RECOVERY IN CHILDREN'S SERVICES**

The Executive Director of Children's Services highlighted that, following the Government's announcement on 12 December, staff were again being asked to work from home and that the return to office working on a 60:40 split had been delayed. In terms of Social Workers, they would carry on working with children and families as carefully and safely as possible.

The Director of Education and Partnerships had met with Head Teachers to discuss concerns around schools, particularly nativity events. This issue had caused some confusion as some schools were going ahead with nativities and others had cancelled. There were various reasons for the difference in approach including numbers of staff available. The general message from the Director was to look at the position in January in terms of infection rates and hopefully things would be more stable with less events taking place.

Several Panel Members raised concerns around the difference in approaches between schools and that it was dividing communities in some areas. It was queried whether advice from the local authority could be issued to schools in terms of providing a uniform approach.

The Executive Director of Children's Services acknowledged the comments and responded that whilst the local authority would prefer a consistent approach from schools, the authority's role was to advise and influence. Consideration would be given, in conjunction with the Director of Education and Partnerships, as to whether any strengthening advice could be provided.

#### **OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY BOARD UPDATE**

A verbal update was provided in relation to the business conducted at the Overview and Scrutiny Board meeting held on 7 December 2021, namely:-

- Executive forward work programme
- Update from the Deputy Mayor & Executive Member for Culture & Communities (Councillor Smiles)
- Chief Executive's Update
- Scrutiny Panels' Chair's Updates.
- Revenue and Capital Budget Projected outturn position, Quarter two 2021/22.
- Next OSB meeting Tues, 18 January 2022 at 10.00am

**NOTED** 

#### DATE AND TIME OF NEXT MEETING

The next meeting of the Children and Young People's Social Care and Services Scrutiny Panel was scheduled for Tuesday, 25 January 2022 4.00pm.



# Children & Young Peoples Social Care and Services Scrutiny Panel

# **Locality Working**

17<sup>th</sup> January 2022

Lyndsey Coe Neighbourhood Development Manager lyndsey.coe@thirteengroup.co.uk





# **Overview of Locality Working:**

- 2 year pilot in Newport and North Ormesby Wards.
- Co-location of staff from across different organisations.
- Involves a system change to the current operational delivery model across services.
- Reconfigure relationships between statutory organisations and the community.
- Collaborative approaches to address the underlying causes of community problems and build capacity within the community.



# **Locality Working**



# Outcomes and benefits (what we will measure)

- Perceptions of crime/ASB
- Perception of being able to influence decision making
- Perceptions relating to community cohesion
  Improved community confidence in LA and partners to tackle local issues
- Increase in owner occupiers
- Reduction in the number of empty homes



# **Locality Working**



- Less children being subject to child sexual and criminal exploitation
- Better outcomes for children / Reduction in LAC
- Better Environment, healthier population, increased education results, ង្ហីhappier community
- Reduction in people raising that issues have been reported but not dealt with
- Improvement on IMD position = Long term



# **Why North Ormesby**



- Highest level of antisocial behaviour
- Highest rate of female victims of violent crime
- Highest rate of male victims of violent crime. Even higher than the Central Ward which has the 'Town Centre'.
- Highest number of lost children reported to LA Street Wardens
- Highest number of hospital admissions overall
- Highest number of hospital admissions for self harm
- Highest number of hospital admissions for COPD
- 2<sup>nd</sup> highest level of racially motivated crime
- 2<sup>nd</sup> highest number of ambulance pick-ups for alcohol & drugs
- 4<sup>th</sup> highest level of alcohol & drug related hospital admissions to JCUH



# **Why North Ormesby**



- Highest proportion of children in looked after care
- Highest proportion of children receiving early help
- Highest proportion of children classed as CiN
- Children Social Care (CSC) data shows North Ormesby has
  - 7.54% of people young people receiving early help
  - 3.83% are CiN
  - 1.69% are on Child Protection plans (2<sup>nd</sup> highest in Middlesbrough) and
  - 6.62% are in looked after care



# **Why North Ormesby**




- Adult Social Care (ASC) need is more mental health related in the North Ormesby ward
- North Ormesby ward is the most deprived ward in Middlesbrough and the 2<sup>nd</sup> most deprived ward in England.
- Life expectancy is 13.3 years lower for men and 11.2 years lower for women in the most deprived areas of Middlesbrough than in the least deprived areas.
- Public Health profiles show that Middlesbrough has high levels of Suicide a significant cause of death in Syoung adults. It is also seen as an indicator of underlying rates of mental ill-health.
- Across Middlesbrough in Year 6, 23% of children are classified as obese. Levels of teenage pregnancy, GCSE attainment, breastfeeding and smoking in pregnancy are also worse than the England average.
- Across Middlesbrough the rate for self-harm hospital admissions is worse than the average for England. This represents 486 admissions per year in Middlesbrough. North Ormesby has the highest rate



# **Locality Working**Governance Structure





# Outcome

Improved life chances and life experience



#### **Objectives/Action Plans**

Healthier Population People feel Safe

Build Community Capacity

Improve economic outcomes

Better outcomes for children

Page 17

physical appearance of neighbourhood putriers)

Ction California (Ction California)

Physical appearance of neighbourhood (Ction California)

Physical appearance of

Better outcomes for children

Stronger **Families** 

Increased educational attainment Less CSE and criminal Exploitation

Reduction in LAC

THE PROPERTY AND PARTY.

# **Locality Working**



- Locality Action plans all have 'smart' targets and actions are being linked to PMF indicators.
- The Thirteen Group model of percentage completion has been applied to track progress.
- PMF indicators are also used to monitor impact and movement.
- Action plans are in place for each of the 2 localities and they have allocated leads i.e. Children's Services plan is lead by Senior Social Worker (Review Team) These are reviewed monthly, indicators that change negatively or project pressures are raised at DIG for further discussion and assistance. Regular progress/highlight/update reports are produced for Assurance and Exec.



## **Partners Involved- North Ormesby**

- Environment/Area Care
- Community Safety Wardens
- Selective Landlord Licensing
- Education EMAT team
- Adult Social Care incl. Housing solutions, Substance Misuse and Welfare Advice
- Cleveland Police
- Public Protection
- Revenue and Benefits
- Early Help team
- Public Health team
- Thirteen Housing
- Children Social Care Social Workers
- DWP and Routes to Work
- Cohesion and Migration team
- Big Local



- Children's Centre
- Mima (Borderland Project)
- You've Got This Team
- Youth Focus North
   East (Youth Outreach)
- Together
   Middlesbrough &
   Cleveland
- Middlesbrough Environment City



# **Locality Working**



Demand modelling identified that Crime and ASB, the perception of safety and Environmental Standards are the three main issues within both localities


The introduction of the flying squad and named Police Officers have improved both efficiency and responses to the above issues. Community feedback also indicates an improvement in perception of safety and indicates residents intend to live within the ward for longer.




# **Locality Working Update on Progress**



#### Improved public perception within North Ormesby









# **Locality Working Update on Progress**



## Improved public perception within North Ormesby









## Better outcomes for children data











## **Environmental Improvements**

A number of alley makeover projects have taken place within the area in partnership with residents and partners.

Residents have taken a lead on what they would like to see in their community spaces, assisting to do the work and learning new skills from the team offering a sustainable outcome.




## Community taking a lead- Community Awards


Young people within the community designed and hosted with the support of partners a community awards event.

This was to recognise and celebrate how the community have supported each other through the pandemic

Reputation of the state of the









Middlesbrough

This page is intentionally left blank

# TOGETHER MIDDLESBROUGH & CLEVELAND®

# Feast of Fun

KAREN DEEN, FOOD INSECURITY PROJECT OFFICER

# Feast of Fun



TM&C co-ordinates programmes of fun activity, and provision of healthy meals, across Middlesbrough and Redcar & Cleveland during school holiday periods. The aim is to combat holiday hunger in vulnerable families who struggle to provide the cost of additional meals, and entertainment, for children out of term time.

- TM&C raises funding each year to be able to pass on small grants to around 40 Churches and community organisations to deliver *Feast of Fun* in their own communities.
- >TM&C provides central co-ordination of the programme during each holiday.
- TM&C provides the *Feast of Fun* branding for the groups to use on posters, flyers, and banners, to promote their activity in the community.
- TM&C also secures, and co-ordinates the dissemination of, additional resources for groups to access such as coach hire; free entry to tourist attractions; children's books; workshop providers and entertainers; and healthy food ingredients.

# Feast of Fun Impact



#### Feast of Fun 2019

- ➤ Number of meals delivered = **13,000**
- > Budget = **£34,000**

# Feast of Fun at Home 2020

Number of meals delivered = **86,000** 

➤ Budget = **£82,000** 

#### Feast of Fun 2021

- ➤ Number of meals delivered = **117,000**
- ➤ Budget = **£117,000**

# Page 30





#### **Examples of Groups delivering Feast of Fun:**

- ➤ Newport Hub
- >Streets Ahead for Information
- ➤ Youth Focus North East
- **≻**Linx
- ➤ Trinity Young People and Children's Project
- ► Local Schools

### Impact of Feast of Fun within Newport and North Ormesby during 2021:

- ≥1292 children and young people supported
- ≥398 adults supported
- ► 10441 meals provided
- Enrichment activities and activity packs provided face to face and remotely

# Impact of Feast of Fun



#### **Case Study**

Family A consisted of Mum, Grandma, Son aged 8, Daughter aged 6, Son aged 4 and Son aged 2. The family lived together in Middlesbrough in an area high in deprivation. As a family accessing the food bank occasionally, they were told about the FOF activities that were taking place and attended as a family. All children participated in all activities and were smiling at all times. The children were polite and thanked the volunteers continually for their lunch. When speaking with the grandma she informed us of how much they struggled as a family to ensure that the children had food and clothing. She said that her daughter struggled with her mental health and being on her own. They did not work, and things were hard. They accessed food banks and could not believe that they could have some free items through the FOF provision – the toiletries available were more than they could have asked for. They attended the FOF each day and absolutely loved it. They were sad it was coming to an end.

'I was in tears when I knew I had a pack coming its made a huge difference'

'This year on furlough has been hard, there is just so much stress trying to cover everything I need and not knowing how to get through the holidays, we don't qualify for support but our outgoings are the same as they were on full pay'

'I was made redundant and just didn't know how I was going to get through the school holidays'

'We lost income, but being self employed there is nothing much we can claim, thanks for helping out'

'The whole area is just buzzing, a real feel good feeling of kids and families getting outside and having a great day'



# TOGETHER MIDDLESBROUGH & CLEVELAND®





















# age 33

karen@togethermc.org

# TOGETHER MIDDLESBROUGH & CLEVELAND®

This page is intentionally left blank